



Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Impactability Modelling

Population Health Management Working Party

Presented by webinar: Wednesday 5 June 2019 at 15.00



Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Impactability Modelling

Population Health Management Working  
Party

5<sup>th</sup> June 2019



Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Introduction

## Background to the Working Party

Alpesh Shah



# Introduction & Background

- The changing NHS landscape
- Role of Population Health Management
- Genesis of the PHM working party
- Focus on Impactability
- How we have organised ourselves:
  - Definition
  - Methods & Models
  - Practical Challenges
  - Ethics and Patient View





Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Definitions of Impactability


Overview, principles, problem statements

Joanne Buckle

26 June 2019



# Overview in “layman’s terms”



**Our technical definition:** *“Impactability modelling measures the degree to which different sub-populations will benefit from a range of interventions and supports using this information to tailor appropriate interventions, within agreed boundaries, to optimise the ‘value’ gained from resources spent”*



Institute and Faculty of Actuaries

# Principles that define impactability modelling

## Cohorts

Focused on population-defined cohorts likely to be predictive of future risk of sub-optimal health outcomes, rather than just disease-defined. These could include socio-economic groups.

## Policy driven

Facilitates achievement of overall policy goals for health service, such as “equal opportunity for equal need”

## Time dimension

Time frames ranging from short term (1 year) to decades

## Distributions not just mean

Incorporates consideration of the distribution of outcomes, rather than just shifting the mean outcome

## Outcomes

Incorporates a range of outcomes, rather than just resource-use/financial, for example, healthy life years, mortality, quality of care (at an individual and population level), staff/ patient satisfaction improvements

## Evaluation methodology

Up-front incorporation of evaluation of intervention, along with consideration of appropriate methodology, tools and data required to overcome issues such as regression to the mean, selection bias, sample size etc.

## Ethics dimension

Incorporate of patient-preference or patient willingness, as well as clinically-defined need



# Example problem statements that would benefit from impactability modelling

## Generic Statements

- We would like to understand which sub-populations are most likely to benefit from different health and care pathways, while considering the impact on inequality of outcomes.
- We would like to identify gaps in care for different sub-populations and identify the best ways to fill those gaps.
- We have an intervention and we want to understand the relative impact for different population groups. For example, we might want to understand the financial or other cost-benefit for prescribing a certain drug to one group of patients versus a group with different characteristics or risk factors.
- We want to understand the clinical value gain overall and the distribution of the clinical value gain from targeting a set of tailored interventions to groups sub-divided according to socio-economic characteristics.

## Specific Statements

- What is the likely capacity of a specific set of patients at risk of AF, hypertension, hyperlipidaemia to benefit clinically from anti-coagulants? What is the financial RoI over a specified time period?
- We want help in deciding which patients will benefit from statins versus another intervention?
- If we want to offer gripped slippers to a group at risk of frailty, how do we decide which sub-groups are at greatest risk or who will benefit most?
- If we have three potential care pathways for chronic depression as a co-morbidity, how can we effectively match each care pathway to the set of people most likely to benefit and understand the impact on overall clinical outcomes, as well as distribution of outcomes in each cohort and across cohorts?







Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Methods & Models

Data-driven, applying actuarial skillset

David Beddows

26 June 2019



# Methods for impactability modelling

Many potential approaches

## “Traditional” Approaches

Prioritise patients with:

- high gap score
- high weighted gap score.
- one or more ambulatory care sensitive conditions

De-prioritise patients with:

- stable characteristics
- extremely high risk

Impactable moments

- e.g. post discharge from hospital

## “Data-driven” / Statistical Approaches

- Risk stratification / risk scores
- Rising risk score (rate of change of risk score)
- Comparing service utilisation, risk characteristics and condition severity against benchmarks
- Data science methods: clustering; decision trees; neural networks.

## Other

Questionnaires/frameworks for assessing individual patients, e.g.:

- Patient Activation Measure
- ANGEL score



Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Methods for impactability modelling

## Pros and cons of the various approaches

### “Traditional” Approaches

#### Pros

- Widely accepted
- Clinicians know how to do it
- Patients trust

#### Cons

- Time consuming
- Data quality
- Cognitive biases

### “Data-driven” / Statistical Approaches

#### Pros

- Objective
- Quicker than working through all the individual medical records
- Proactive
- Better population health outcomes

#### Cons


- Requires high quality data
- Requires new/more technology
- Bias in data and model
- Questionable predictive accuracy

Considering the actuarial skillset, the WP is naturally focussing on the data-driven/statistical methods



# Data for impactability modelling

Current health policies and advances in technology offer opportunities to develop more “data-driven” methods



Challenges to address

Availability;  
Accessibility; Quality;  
Continuity

Many data sources

- payers
  - clinical commissioners, Local Authorities, national government
- providers
  - Hospitals, GPs, Social services, voluntary sector
- patients

Desirable characteristics

- patient-level data
- includes many care settings
- predictive of impactability
- sufficient longitudinal view
- control group
- relevant to objectives of an intervention
- available



Institute and Faculty of Actuaries

# Criteria for model assessment (1 / 2)

Appropriateness of methods for use and for further investigation by this WP

Patient/population health & Patient experience		Healthcare resource utilisation	
Patient Outcomes	Patient Experience	Resource Utilisation / Cost	Technology
<p>Which outcomes are most interesting?</p> <p>Can they be measured?</p> <p>How can they be improved?</p> <p>Time frame</p> <p>Measures of success?</p>	<p>Does the impactability assessment result in patients needing more appointments / procedures / diagnostic tests?</p>	<p>Resources needed for performing the impactability assessment and the resulting net effect on clinician/system resources of implementing the results.</p> <p>Burden of data collection &amp; analysis</p> <p>Types of costs:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• staff involvement</li> <li>• clinicians training</li> <li>• Raising awareness</li> </ul>	<p>Is the necessary technology available?</p> <p>Processing power of hardware</p> <p>Do people know how to use the technology?</p>



Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Criteria for model assessment (2 / 2)

Appropriateness of methods for use and for further investigation by this WP

Healthcare professional experience	Actuarial Concerns		
Staff Experience	Data Availability	Professionally / Clinically / Academically Rigorous	Suitability for this WP to research further
<p>Increase workload?</p> <p>Are staff sufficiently trained in the procedures / techniques / understanding results of the analysis?</p> <p>Recruitment necessary</p>	<p>Sufficient data?</p> <p>How quickly can it be accessed?</p> <p>Data history</p> <p>Individual level data</p> <p>Data quality</p> <p>Data privacy, information governance, GDPR, etc</p>	<p>Solid theoretical bases?</p> <p>Clinically sound?</p> <p>Are the methods transparent?</p> <p>Peer reviewed?</p> <p>Are assumptions justified?</p> <p>Bias</p> <p>Regression to mean</p>	<p>Can actuaries add value to the field regarding each model?</p> <p>E.g. the data-driven approaches would tend to lend themselves more to actuarial skillset</p>





Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Practical considerations


What are the barriers and enablers to effective impactability modelling

Dr. Chris Martin



# Practical considerations

- Eight interviews conducted so far with stakeholders and experts.
- Grounded thematic analysis of interview notes.





# Practical issues 1

Impactability modelling	Organisational factors
<p><b>Risk stratification</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Intangible disease subgroups.</li><li>• Anxiety in patients.</li><li>• Risk model failure.</li><li>• New methods such as AI.</li></ul> <p><b>Impactability</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Organisational features as well as patient.</li><li>• Risk scores can only be fitted to the data available.</li></ul> <p>Identification of risk and impactability thresholds.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Surviving organization reforms.</li><li>• <b>Relationships and morale.</b><ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Local trumps national.</li><li>• Engagement, trust and motivation.</li><li>• Affects multidisciplinary team implementation.</li></ul></li><li>• Inter-operability of records systems.</li><li>• Training issues and understanding.</li></ul>



# Practical issues 2

Data	Analysis
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Consistent, complete, precise, sufficient.</li><li>• Incompleteness</li><li>• Lack of standardisation.</li><li>• Data availability and usefulness not the same.</li><li>• Data for stratification a secondary purpose.</li><li>• Poor sharing of data.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Huge demand and a lack of capacity.</li><li>• Diversion of analyst resources to statutory returns.</li><li>• Communication of results is a problem.</li><li>• Needs to be system wide and not at component level.</li></ul>



# Practical issues 3

Evaluation	Potential solutions
<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• Understand the logic model first.</li><li>• Perspective affects performance metric.</li><li>• Outcome more important than adherence.</li><li>• Requires the passage of time.</li><li>• Causation can't be inferred with pre/post studies.</li><li>• Impactability important for evaluating the cost-effectiveness of interventions as well as for identifying targets for intervention.</li></ul>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• To improve access.</li><li>•</li><li>• To increase the supply of analytic skills to population health management:</li><li>• Support for organisations in understanding segmentation, risk stratification and impactability.</li><li>• A phased approach to systems integration across organisations may have a greater chance of success.</li></ul>





Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Ethics & Patient View

Sarah Culkin




26 June 2019

# Ethics and Patient Involvement

- Range of ethical considerations when developing an Impactability model:



# Ethics: Data In



The screenshot shows the GOV.UK website interface for the Data Ethics Framework. At the top, there is a black navigation bar with the GOV.UK logo on the left and a search bar on the right. Below the navigation bar, the breadcrumb trail reads "Home > Data Ethics Framework". To the left of the main content area is the logo and name of the Department for Digital, Culture, Media & Sport. The main content area features a large blue banner with the text "Guidance Data Ethics Framework Updated 30 August 2018". Below the banner, there are two links: "Contents" and "Ministerial Foreword", with the latter being the active page.

GOV.UK

Search

Home > Data Ethics Framework

Department for Digital, Culture, Media & Sport

Guidance  
**Data Ethics Framework**  
Updated 30 August 2018

Contents  
[Ministerial Foreword](#)

**Ministerial Foreword**



Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Ethics: Decision Support Out

## Traditional Medical/Public Health bioethics

### Example:

- Utility
- Benefits
- Justice
- Transparency
- Autonomy

1. Value/s	2. DRG-specific factors	Macro-level	Meso-level	Micro-level
Utility	Cost & efficiency	(D) Do DRGs help to contain costs for the health care system?	(D) Is efficiency under DRGs correlated with the kind of hospital providing the service?	(M) What if anything can we learn about the impact of DRGs from HCPs' perceptions of efficiency?
Producing benefits	Quality of care	How should we define and measure good quality of health care?	(D) How is patient safety affected by the implementation of DRGs at specific hospitals?	(D) How if at all do DRGs influence the quality of care for individual patients?
Distributive justice	Access to health care	(N) Is sufficient access to health care a fundamental requirement of justice?	(D) Do DRGs affect access to care at specific hospitals?	(D) What are HCPs' perceptions of how vulnerable groups are affected by DRGs?
Transparency	Hospital transparency	(D) Do DRGs result in greater pricing transparency?	(D) Are hospital procedures conducive to promoting transparency?	(M) How if at all can hospital transparency be judged at a micro-level?
Autonomy	Patient autonomy	(M) What is patient autonomy and how should it be measured?	(D) Do DRGs lead to greater competition between specific hospitals and does this impact on patient choice?	(D) How if at all do DRGs affect the autonomy of individual patients e.g. through an impact on informed consent?



Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Q & A

All



26 June 2019



# Questions

# Comments

The views expressed in this presentation are those of invited contributors and not necessarily those of the IFoA. The IFoA do not endorse any of the views stated, nor any claims or representations made in this presentation and accept no responsibility or liability to any person for loss or damage suffered as a consequence of their placing reliance upon any view, claim or representation made in this presentation.

The information and expressions of opinion contained in this publication are not intended to be a comprehensive study, nor to provide actuarial advice or advice of any nature and should not be treated as a substitute for specific advice concerning individual situations. On no account may any part of this presentation be reproduced without the written permission of the IFoA.



Institute  
and Faculty  
of Actuaries

# Contact details

- Alpesh Shah - [alpesh.shah@pwc.com](mailto:alpesh.shah@pwc.com)
- Joanne Buckle - [joanne.buckle@milliman.com](mailto:joanne.buckle@milliman.com)
- David Beddows – [david.beddows@optum.com](mailto:david.beddows@optum.com)
- Dr. Chris Martin - [chris.martin@crystallise.com](mailto:chris.martin@crystallise.com)
- Sarah Culkin - [sarah.culkin@nhsx.nhs.uk](mailto:sarah.culkin@nhsx.nhs.uk)

